BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Organization _

OGIGE/ROOM RANDOLPH

P.O. Box 1450 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Penalty For Private Use, \$300 Official Business

DEQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

USPTO MAIL CENTER NO TOURS OF



HE CONTROL U.S. OFFICIAL MAIL
FENALTY FOR
FORM ARTINITY FOR
FORM A

02 1A 0004204034

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314 \$ 00.370 APR 14 2005





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,065	02/19/2002	Yevgeniy Eugene Shteyn	US 028015	8549
7:	590 04/14/2005	OIPE	EXAM	INER
Corporate Patent Counsel U.S. Philips Corporation		and the second	MOSSER, ROBERT E	
580 White Plains Road		1 3 2000 H	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, NY	7 10591	MAY 1 3 YOUR	3714	
	V.	TRADE MARKS	DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
NAY 17 2005



Notice of Abandonment

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/080,065	SHTEYN, YEVGENIY EUGENE			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Robert Mosser	3714			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 30 September 2004. (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on ___ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$____. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Contacted applicant's representative and confirmed no reply was mailed. Applicant further stated that the office action dated 9-30-2004 was never received. While this is in accordance with the return mail dated 10-21-2004 the action was sent to the applicant's address of record. Presently there is no indication that the applicant has made an attempt to update their contact address since the non-final office action replied to from applycant on June 9th, 2004.

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

SICA HARRISON